



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,548	04/22/2004	Igor Cadez	MS149546.02 / MSFTP288USA	7288
27195	7590	04/11/2008	EXAMINER	
AMIN. TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP			ULRICH, NICHOLAS S	
24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER				
1900 EAST NINTH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			2173	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/11/2008	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@the patent attorneys.com  
hholmes@the patent attorneys.com  
osteuball@the patent attorneys.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/829,548	CADEZ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	NICHOLAS S. ULRICH	2173	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) NICHOLAS S. ULRICH. (3) Nilesh Amin.

(2) Brian Steed. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 03 April 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants representatives wished to discuss claim wording and verify that the proposed amendments are deemed acceptable. Examiner notified applicants representative that the wording of proposed amendments was acceptable. Examiner also notified applicants representatives that an updated search of the prior art must be performed before the amended claims can be considered allowable over the prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Tadesse Hailu/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2173  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.